

ON FIXED-DURATION DYNAMIC GAMES*

G.V. TOMSKII

Four methods of successive approximations are examined for a dynamic game's value function, which are used to construct the players' ϵ -optimal strategies. General piecewise-programmed strategies are used to prove the convergence of these methods. The paper's contents about the investigations in /1-9/ and generalize the results in /8,9/ to the case of a general dynamic system.

1. Let there be specified a time interval $[t_0, T]$, a state set X , sets U and V , the first (second) player's control set D_1 (D_2) whose elements are mappings of the time interval $[t_0, T]$ into U (V), and the state function

$$\kappa : [t_0, T] \times [t_0, T] \times X \times D_1 \times D_2 \rightarrow X$$

The quintuple $\Sigma = ([t_0, T], X, D_1, D_2, \kappa)$ is called a general dynamic system if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- 1) sets X, D_1, D_2 are nonempty;
- 2) if $u_1, u_2 \in D_1, v_1, v_2 \in D_2$ and $t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq T$, then we can find $u_3 \in D_1, v_3 \in D_2$ such that

$$u_3(t) = \begin{cases} u_1(t), & t_1 \leq t < t_2, \\ u_2(t), & t_2 \leq t < t_3, \end{cases} \quad v_3(t) = \begin{cases} v_1(t), & t_1 \leq t < t_2, \\ v_2(t), & t_2 \leq t < t_3 \end{cases}$$

- 3) the function $x = \kappa(t, \tau, x_*, u, v)$ is defined for all $t \geq \tau$ and is not necessarily defined for all $t < \tau$;
- 4) the equality $\kappa(t, t, x, u, v) = x$ is fulfilled for any $t_0 \leq t \leq T, x \in X, u \in D_1, v \in D_2$;
- 5) the equality

$$\kappa(t_3, t_1, x, u, v) = \kappa(t_3, t_2, \kappa(t_2, t_1, x, u, v), u, v)$$

is fulfilled for any $t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq T$ and any $x \in X, u \in D_1, v \in D_2$;

- 6) if $u_1, u_2 \in D_1, v_1, v_2 \in D_2$ and $u_1(t) = u_2(t), v_1(t) = v_2(t)$ when $t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t < t_2 \leq T$, then for any $x \in X$ we have

$$\kappa(t_2, t_1, x, u_1, v_1) = \kappa(t_2, t_1, x, u_2, v_2)$$

An element $x(t) = \kappa(t, t_*, x_*, u, v)$ of set X is called a state of system Σ at the instant t , while the corresponding mapping $x(\cdot) : [t_*, T] \rightarrow X$ is called a trajectory of system Σ if at instant t_* the system is found to be in state x_* and the controls u and v are acting on it. By $D_k [t_1, t_2]$ we denote the set of all restrictions of the k -th player's controls to the interval $[t_1, t_2], k = 1, 2$.

2. We examine dynamic games $\Gamma(t_*, x_*)$ described by system Σ , which the first player's payoff is

$$I(u, v, t_*, x_*) = H(\kappa(T, t_*, x_*, u, v))$$

where $H: X \rightarrow R^1$. We assume that the first player maximizes this functional, while the second minimizes it. We also assume that the players use piecewise-programmed strategies /3-7/. We consider the following four sequences, the first two of which are generalizations of the sequences in /8,9/:

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^+(t, x) &= W_1^+(t, x) = \inf_{v \in D_2} \sup_{u \in D_1} H(\kappa|_{\tau=T}) \\ V_1^-(t, x) &= W_1^-(t, x) = \sup_{u \in D_1} \inf_{v \in D_2} H(\kappa|_{\tau=T}) \\ V_n^+(t, x) &= \inf_{\tau \in [t, T]} \inf_{v \in D_2} \sup_{u \in D_1} V_{n-1}^+(\tau, \kappa) \end{aligned}$$

*Prikl. Matem. Mekhan., 45, No. 2, 230-235, 1981

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_n^-(t, x) &= \sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} \sup_{u \in D_1} \inf_{v \in D_2} V_{n-1}^-(\tau, \kappa) \\
 W_n^+(t, x) &= \inf_{v \in D_2} \sup_{u \in D_1} \inf_{\tau \in [t, T]} W_{n-1}^+(\tau, \kappa) \\
 W_n^-(t, x) &= \sup_{u \in D_1} \inf_{v \in D_2} \sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} W_{n-1}^-(\tau, \kappa) \\
 t_0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in X, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots; \quad \kappa = \kappa(\tau, t, x, u, v)
 \end{aligned}$$

We assume that the dynamic system Σ satisfies the condition:

7) for any $t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$, $x_1, x_2 \in X$ there exist controls $u_* = u(t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2) \in D_1[t_1, t_2]$ and $v_* = v(t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2) \in D_2[t_1, t_2]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{d[\kappa(t, t_1, x_1, u_*, v), \kappa(t, t_1, x_2, u, v_*)]\}^m \leq \{d[x_1, x_2]\}^m \exp \beta(t_2 - t_1) + \gamma(t_2 - t_1)(t_2 - t_1) \quad (2.1) \\
 t_1 \leq t \leq t_2, \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \gamma(\delta) = 0, \quad m, \beta > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in D_1, v \in D_2$, where d is some metric on state set X .

Theorem 1. If the dynamic system Σ satisfies condition 7) and the function H is uniformly continuous, then for any number $\varepsilon > 0$ a pair of ε -optimal strategies exists in game $\Gamma(t_*, x_*)$ and the condition

$$V(t, x) = \lim V_n^+(t, x) = \lim V_n^-(t, x) = \lim W_n^+(t, x) = \lim W_n^-(t, x), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.2)$$

is fulfilled for the value function $V(t_*, x_*) = \text{val } \Gamma(t_*, x_*)$.

3. For dynamic systems satisfying the condition

8) $U \subset D_1, V \subset D_2$

we examine the quantities

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{c1}^+(t, x) &= W_{c1}^+(t, x) = \inf_{v \in V} \sup_{u \in D_1} H(\kappa |_{\tau=T}) \\
 V_{c1}^-(t, x) &= W_{c1}^-(t, x) = \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{v \in D_2} H(\kappa |_{\tau=T}) \\
 V_{cn}^+(t, x) &= \inf_{\tau \in [t, T]} \inf_{v \in V} \sup_{u \in D_1} V_{c(n-1)}^+(\tau, \kappa) \\
 V_{cn}^-(t, x) &= \sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{v \in D_2} V_{c(n-1)}^-(\tau, \kappa) \\
 W_{cn}^+(t, x) &= \inf_{v \in V} \sup_{u \in D_1} \inf_{\tau \in [t, T]} W_{c(n-1)}^+(\tau, \kappa) \\
 W_{cn}^-(t, x) &= \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{v \in D_2} \sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} W_{c(n-1)}^-(\tau, \kappa) \\
 t_0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in X, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots; \quad \kappa = \kappa(\tau, t, x, u, v)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let the following condition be fulfilled:

9) for all $t_0 \leq t_1 \leq T$, $x_1, x_2 \in X$ there exist controls $u_* = u(t_1, x_1, x_2) \in U, v_* = v(t_1, x_1, x_2) \in V$ such that (2.1) is fulfilled for all $t_1 \leq t = t_2 \leq T, u \in D_1, v \in D_2$.

Theorem 2. If conditions 8) and 9) are fulfilled for a dynamic system Σ and function H is uniformly continuous, then game Γ_* has a value and the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_* = \text{val } \Gamma_* = \lim V_{n*}^+ = \lim V_{n*}^- = \lim W_{n*}^+ = \lim W_{n*}^- = \\
 \lim V_{cn*}^+ = \lim V_{cn*}^- = \lim W_{cn*}^+ = \lim W_{cn*}^-
 \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

are valid.

Here and subsequently the asterisk signifies that t_* and x_* are the arguments of the function in question; the limit is taken as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

4. Let us prove Theorems 1 and 2. For any finite partitioning

$$\Delta = \{t_* = t_0^\Delta < t_1^\Delta < t_2^\Delta < \dots < t_{n(\Delta)}^\Delta = T\}$$

the dynamic games with discrimination $\Gamma_{*}^\Delta, \Gamma_{\Delta*}$ have the values /6,7,10/

$$V_{*}^\Delta = \text{val } \Gamma_{*}^\Delta = \inf_{u_1} \sup_{v_1} \dots \inf_{v_{n(\Delta)}} \sup_{u_{n(\Delta)}} H(\kappa) \quad (4.1)$$

$$V_{\Delta*} = \text{val } \Gamma_{\Delta*} = \sup_{u_1} \inf_{v_1} \dots \sup_{u_{n(\Delta)}} \inf_{v_{n(\Delta)}} H(\kappa) \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_k \in D_1[t_{k-1}^\Delta, t_k^\Delta], \quad v_k \in D_2[t_{k-1}^\Delta, t_k^\Delta], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n(\Delta) \\
 \kappa = \kappa(T, t_*, x_*, u_1, \dots, u_{n(\Delta)}; v_1, \dots, v_{n(\Delta)})
 \end{aligned}$$

Let the quantity $V_{c_*}^\Delta$ be defined by formula (4.1) wherein

$$u_k \in D_1 [t_{k-1}^\Delta, t_*^\Delta], v_k \in V, k = 1, 2, \dots, n(\Delta)$$

and let the quantity $V_{\Delta_*}^c$ be defined by formula (4.2) wherein

$$u_k \in U, v_k \in D_2 [t_{k-1}^\Delta, t_k^\Delta], k = 1, 2, \dots, n(\Delta)$$

These quantities can be looked upon as the values of the dynamic games with discrimination $\Gamma_{c_*}^\Delta, \Gamma_{\Delta_*}^c$ in which the player being discriminated uses piecewise-constant strategies /6/. From condition 7) and the uniform continuity of function H follows the equality (1,6,10/

$$V_* = \lim V_*^{(n)} = \lim V_{\omega(n)*}, n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.3}$$

$$t_k^{(n)} = t_* + k(T - t_*)/2^n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$$

Analogously, from conditions 8) and 9) and the uniform continuity of H follows the equality

$$V_* = \lim V_{c_*}^{(n)} = \lim V_{\omega(n)}^c \tag{4.4}$$

The following statements are valid.

Lemma 1. $V_*^{(n)} \geq V_{2^n*}^+ \geq W_{2^n*}^+ \geq W_{2^n*}^- \geq V_{2^n*}^- \geq V_{\omega(n)*}, n = 1, 2, \dots$

Lemma 2. $V_{c_*}^{(n)} \geq V_{c_2^n*}^+ \geq W_{c_2^n*}^+ \geq W_{c_2^n*}^- \geq V_{c_2^n*}^- \geq V_{\omega(n)*}, n = 1, 2, \dots$

All the sequences in (2.2) and (3.1) are monotone. Consequently, Theorem 1 follows from (4.3) and Lemma 1, while Theorem 2 follows from (4.4) and Lemma 2.

Lemma 1. All the inequalities in this lemma, except the middle one

$$W_{m*}^+ \geq W_{m*}^-, m = 1, 2, \dots \tag{4.5}$$

follow from the definitions of the sequences being examined. To prove inequalities (4.5) we take advantage of the following concept.

Definition. The matrix

$$a_n = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1^t & \varepsilon_2^t & \dots & \varepsilon_n^t \\ \varphi_1^t & \varphi_2^t & \dots & \varphi_n^t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\varepsilon_n^t = T - t, \varepsilon_k^t: X \times D_1 [t, T] \times D_2 [t, T] \rightarrow [0, T - t]$$

$$\varphi_k^t: X \rightarrow D_1 [t, T], t \in [t_0, T], k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

is called a general n th-order positional piecewise-programmed strategy for the first player in system Σ .

The general positional piecewise-programmed strategies for the second player are defined analogously. For each position $\{t_*, x_*\}$ any pair of general positional piecewise-programmed strategies

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1^t & \varepsilon_2^t & \dots & \varepsilon_n^t \\ \varphi_1^t & \varphi_2^t & \dots & \varphi_n^t \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^t & \psi_2^t & \dots & \psi_m^t \\ \Psi_1^t & \Psi_2^t & \dots & \Psi_m^t \end{pmatrix}$$

define a unique trajectory

$$x(t) = x(t, t_*, x_*, a, b) = x(t, t_*, x_*, u(a, b), v(a, b))$$

of system Σ in the following manner. At first the players choose the controls $u_1 = \varphi_1^{t_*}(x_*)$, $v_1 = \Psi_1^{t_*}(x_*)$. For definiteness we assume that

$$\varepsilon_1^{t_*}(x_*, u_1, v_1) < \sigma_1^{t_*}(x_*, u_1, v_1)$$

Then at the instant $t_1^{(1)} = t_* + \varepsilon_1^{t_*}(x_*, u_1, v_1)$ the first player chooses the new control

$$u_2 = \varphi_2^{t_1^{(1)}}(x_1^{(1)}) \in D_1 [t_1^{(1)}, T], x_1^{(1)} = x(t_1^{(1)}, t_*, x_*, u_1, v_1)$$

For example, let

$$t_1^{(2)} = t_* + \sigma_1^{t_*}(x_*, u_1', u_2; v_1) < t_1^{(1)} + \varepsilon_2^{t_1^{(1)}}(x_1^{(1)}, u_2, v_1')$$

where u_1' is the restriction of u_1 to $[t_*, t_1^{(1)})$ and v_1' is the restriction of v_1 to $[t_1^{(1)}, T)$. Then at the instant $t_1^{(2)}$ the second player chooses the control

$$v_2 = \psi_2^{t_1^{(2)}}(x_1^{(2)}) \in D_2[t_1^{(2)}, T], \quad x_1^{(2)} = \kappa(t_1^{(2)}, t_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(1)}, u_2, v_1')$$

Proceeding thus, after at most $n + m - 1$ steps we obtain a unique pair of controls $u(a, b)$ and $v(a, b)$ on $[t_*, T)$.

For any number $\delta > 0$ we define the strategy

$$a(\delta) = \left\| \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_1^t(\delta) \dots \varepsilon_m^t(\delta) \\ \varphi_1^t(\delta) \dots \varphi_m^t(\delta) \end{array} \right\|$$

as follows:

$$\varepsilon_m^t(\delta) = T - t \tag{4.6}$$

$$W_{m-k+1}^-(t, x) \leq \sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} W_{m-k}^-(\tau, \kappa(\tau, t, x, \varphi_k^t(\delta)(x, v)) + \frac{\delta}{2m}$$

$$\sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} W_{m-k}^-(\tau, \kappa(\tau, t, x, u, v)) \leq$$

$$W_{m-k}^-(\varepsilon_k^t(\delta)(x, u, v), \kappa(\varepsilon_k^t(\delta)(x, u, v), t, x, u, v)) + \frac{\delta}{2m}$$

$$\forall u \in D_1[t, T], v \in D_2[t, T], t \in [t_0, T], x \in X$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$$

$$W_1^-(t, x) \leq H(\kappa(T, t, \varphi_m^t(\delta)(x, v)) + \frac{\delta}{m}, \forall v \tag{4.7}$$

From (4.6), (4.7) follows the inequality

$$K(a(\delta), b, t_*, x_*) = H(\kappa(T, t_*, x_*, a(\delta), b)) \geq W_{m*}^- - \delta \tag{4.8}$$

for all general positional piecewise-programmed strategies b of the second player. Analogously, a general positional piecewise-programmed strategy $b(\delta)$ of the second player exists such that

$$K(a, b(\delta), t_*, x_*) \leq W_{m*}^+ + \delta \tag{4.9}$$

for all general positional piecewise-programmed strategies a . Inequality (4.5) follows from (4.8) and (4.9). Lemma 2 is proved in the same way.

Note. The strategy pair $a(\varepsilon/3), b(\varepsilon/3)$ forms an ε -equilibrium situation in the dynamic game $\Gamma(t_*, x_*)$ in the class of general positional piecewise-programmed strategies if only m is a sufficiently large number and the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are fulfilled.

5. From Theorem 1 follows:

Theorem 3. Let the dynamic system Σ satisfy condition 7) and function H be uniformly continuous. Then a function $V(t, x)$ such that

$$V(T, x) = H(x) \tag{5.1}$$

satisfying condition (5.2) or (5.3)

$$V(t, x) = \inf_{\tau \in [t, T]} \sup_{u \in D_1} \inf_{\tau \in [t, T]} V(\tau, \kappa) = \sup_{u \in D_1} \inf_{v \in D_2} \sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} V(\tau, \kappa) \tag{5.2}$$

$$V(t, x) = \inf_{\tau \in [t, T]} \inf_{v \in D_2} \sup_{u \in D_1} V(\tau, \kappa) = \sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} \sup_{u \in D_1} \inf_{v \in D_2} V(\tau, \kappa), \quad (\kappa = \kappa(\tau, t, x, u, v)) \tag{5.3}$$

for all $t_0 \leq t \leq T, x \in X$, is the value function of the dynamic game $\Gamma(t, x)$, $\text{val } \Gamma(t, x)$
 $V(t, x), t_0 \leq t \leq T, x \in X$.

Proof. From (5.1) follows the inequality

$$V_1^-(t, x) = W_1^-(t, x) \leq V(t, x) \leq V_1^+(t, x) = W_1^+(t, x)$$

Then from (5.2) we obtain

$$W_n^-(t, x) \leq V(t, x) \leq W_n^+(t, x), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

or, if the stronger condition (5.3) is fulfilled, then

$$V_n^-(t, x) \leq V(t, x) \leq V_n^+(t, x), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

By Theorem 1 we have $V(t, x) = \text{val } \Gamma(t, x)$.

From Theorem 2 follows

Theorem 4. Let the dynamic system Σ satisfy conditions 8) and 9) and function H be uniformly continuous. Then a function $V(t, x)$, satisfying condition (5.1) and such that condition (5.4) or (5.5)

$$V(t, x) = \inf_{v \in V} \sup_{u \in D_1} \inf_{\tau \in [t, T]} V(\tau, \kappa) = \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{v \in D_2} \sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} V(\tau, \kappa) \quad (5.4)$$

$$V(t, x) = \inf_{\tau \in [t, T]} \inf_{v \in V} \sup_{u \in D_1} V(\tau, \kappa) = \sup_{\tau \in [t, T]} \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{v \in D_2} V(\tau, \kappa) \quad (5.5)$$

$$(\kappa = \kappa(\tau, t, x, u, v))$$

is satisfied for all $t_0 \leq t \leq T$, $x \in X$, is the value function of dynamic game $\Gamma(t, x)$.

We note that (5.3) is a generalization of the equations in [8,9]. All Eqs.(5.2)–(5.5) can be used to find ε -optimal strategies in dynamic games $\Gamma(t, x)$ in the class of general positional piecewise-programmed strategies.

REFERENCES

1. KRASOVSKII N.N. and SUBBOTIN A.I., Positional Differential Games. Moscow, NAUKA, 1974.
2. OSIPOV Iu.S., On the theory of differential games in distributed-parameter systems. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vol.223, No.6, 1975.
3. PETROV N.N., On the existence of a value in a pursuit game. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vol. 190, No.6, 1970.
4. PETROSIAN L.A., Differential Pursuit Games. Leningrad, Izd. Leningradsk. Gos. Univ., 1972.
5. SUBBOTIN A.I., A dynamic encounter-evasion game. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vol.234, No.2, 1977.
6. TOMSKII G.V., Encounter-evasion problems in quasidynamic problems. PMM Vol.42, No.2, 1978.
7. TOMSKI /TOMSKII/ G.V., Jeux dynamiques à deux joueurs. Cahiers de Math. de la Décision, Université de Paris—Dauphine, No. 7919, 1979.
8. CHENTSOV A.G., On a game problem of encounter at a prescribed instant. Mat. Sb., Vol.99, No.3, 1976.
9. CHISTIYAKOV S.V., On solving pursuit game problems. PMM Vol.41, No.5, 1977.
10. FRIEDMAN A., Differential Games, New York, Wiley Interscience, 1971.

Translated by N.H.C.